1 2 3 4 5	"James" Huan Ly (State Bar No. 289912) LY LAW OFFICES 1550 The Alameda, Ste. 160 San Jose, CA 95126 Telephone: (669) 270-1108 E-mail: jly@lylawoffices.com Attorneys for Plaintiff "JANINE" CHUN LU	E-FILED 12/19/2023 5:47 PM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 23CV428070 Reviewed By: B. Roman-Antunez	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THI	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
10			
11	"JANINE" CHUN LU, an individual,	Case No. 23CV428070	
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR: -Abatement of Public Nuisance	
13	v.	-Abatement of Private Nuisance -Nuisance Per Se	
14	MARC GOUBERT, an individual; SAM ARVAYO, an individual; SERENA	-Negligence -Negligence – Parental Liability	
15	ARVAYO, an individual, J.D., a minor; and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	-Statutory Liability of Parents for Torts of Minor	
16	Defendants.	-Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress	
17		FOR PRELIMINARY AND	
18		PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND MONETARY DAMAGES	
19		[Demand for Jury Trial]	
20			
21	DI. L. L'CC (GIANIDIE), CHI DI I I I (1 ) . (	Para (Plaintico)	
22	, ,	eter as "Plaintiff") complains against Defendants	
23	MARC GOUBERT, an individual; SAM ARVAYO, an individual; SERENA ARVAYO, an		
24	individual; J.D. a minor; and DOES 1 to 25, inclusive, as follows:		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	PRELIMINARY  1 Plaintiff "IANINE" CHUN I II (hereinaft	ter as "Plaintiff") is an individual over the age of	
27	eighteen years.	ici as Tianium ) is an murvidual over the age of	
28		vidual over the age of eighteen years	
20	2. Defendant MARC GOUBERT is an individual over the age of eighteen years.		
		1	

COMPLAINT

- 3. Defendant SAM ARVAYO is an individual over the age of eighteen years.
- 4. Defendant SERENA ARVAYO is an individual over the age of eighteen years.
- 5. Defendant J.D., whose name is unknown to Plaintiff and who Plaintiff sues as J.D. for John Doe, is a minor.
- 6. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, association, or otherwise of defendants Does 1 to 25, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff at the time of the filing of this action, and therefore sues such defendants Does 1 to 25 by fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff, on information and belief, alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants designated as Doe is responsible in some matter for the occurrences and events alleged in this Complaint, and that Plaintiff's damages, as alleged herein, were proximately caused by the conduct of defendants.
- 7. Plaintiff, on information and belief, alleges that each of the defendants sued herein, either named or unnamed, was the agent, servant, employee, representative, partner, representative, or joint venture of the remaining defendants, and in taking the actions or fail to take the actions alleged herein, was acting within the scope of such agency, employment, representation, partnership, and/or joint venture. Plaintiff, on information and belief, further alleges that each of the defendants were acting with the authority, commission, direction, instruction, permission and/or ratification of the remaining defendants.

## **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 8. This court is the property court and this action is properly filed in the County of Santa Clara and in this judicial district as the wrongs complained of occurred here and Defendants' obligations and liabilities arose here.
- 9. In addition, jurisdiction and venue is proper because Defendants SAM ARVAYO, SERENA ARVAYO and J.D. resides in the County of Santa Clara. Defendant MARC GOUBERT does business in the County of Santa Clara as a landlord who leases his real property to tenants located in the County of Santa Clara.
- 10. Plaintiff alleges the amount in controversy in this action is in in the unlimited jurisdiction of this court, for the damages demand is in excess of the \$25,000 unlimited jurisdiction minimum

1	of this Court.
2	FACTUAL BACKGROUND
3	11. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff is the next-door neighbor of Defendants
4	SAM ARVAYO, SERENA ARVAYO, and J.D. Both Plaintiff and Defendants SAM ARVAYO,
5	SERENA ARVAYO, J.D share fence line between their respective homes.
6	12. Plaintiff, Defendants SAM ARVAYO, SERENA ARVAYO, and J.D. reside in the City
7	of San Jose.
8	13. Defendants SAM ARVAYO, SERENA ARVAYO, and J.D. are related to one another and
9	reside together. SERENA ARVAYO is the mother of J.D. SAM ARVAYO is the father of
10	SERENA ARVAYO and the grandfather of J.D.
11	14. Defendant MARC GOUBERT is the owner of the real property that is being leased to
12	Defendants SAM ARVAYO, SERENA ARVAYO, and J.D. The tenancy began about two years
13	ago.
14	15. Upon information and belief, J.D. has a developmental disorder. J.D. has been left in the
15	backyard almost daily to wail and scream for hours, often without adult supervision.
16	16. Furthermore, Defendants SAM ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO frequently hold loud
17	parties, oftentimes weekly, with amplified music and noise that often go into the late night and
18	early morning hours.
19	17. Due to plethora of guests that attend these parties, Defendants SAM ARVAYO, SERENA
20	ARVAYO, and/or their numerous guests illegally park their vehicles next to a red curb and the
21	unpaved lawn of the ARVAYO residence during these parties.
22	18. Plaintiff is a remote worker and primarily works from home. Furthermore, she also has a
23	real estate business that she operates out of her home office. The disturbances and nuisance have
24	harmed Plaintiff, caused her great emotional distress, adversely affected her career and business,
25	and caused loss of enjoyment of her home.
26	19. Plaintiff has provided notice about the aforementioned disturbances to Defendants SAM
27	ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO and expressed her concern about J.D. being left unattended
28	to wail and yell for hours alone in the backyard on a daily or near daily basis. Not only did

COMPLAINT

45. The frequently held parties are rambunctious, loud with amplified music and noise that

pursuant Chapter 10.16 et seq. of the San Jose Municipal Code.

27

28

1	often go into the late night and early morning hours are in violation of Ch. Chapter 10.16 et seq
2	of the San Jose Municipal Code and therefore nuisance per se.
3	46. Due to the plethora of guests that attend these parties, Defendants SAM ARVAYO
4	SERENA ARVAYO, and/or their numerous guests illegally park their vehicles next to a red curb
5	and the unpaved lawn of the ARVAYO residence. The behavior of Defendants SAM ARVAYO
6	SERENA ARVAYO, and/or their numerous guests constitute nuisance per se under Chapter 10.16
7	11.51, 17.72 et seq. of the San Jose Municipal Code and California Vehicle Code section 21458.
8	47. The existence of the nuisance in of itself in violation of the statute, ordinance, or law
9	establishes nuisance per se and no ill effects need be shown. (McClatchy v. Laguna Lands, Ltd
10	(1917) 32 Cal.App. 718, 725.)
11	48. As the landlord, Defendant MARC GOUBERT is liable for the conduct of Defendants
12	J.D., SERENA ARVAYO, SAM ARVAYO as he has been placed on notice about the nuisance
13	and conduct yet refuses to abate the nuisance.
14	49. Plaintiff is entitled to a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanen
15	mandatory injunction against all Defendants providing for the abatement of the nuisance.
16	WHEREFORE Plaintiff request relief as hereinafter provided.
17	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
18	Negligence Against All Defendants
19	50. Plaintiff re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above as though
20	fully set forth herein.
21	51. Defendants owed a duty to exercise reasonable care toward to Plaintiff relative to their
22	respective relationships, especially as neighbors.
23	52. Defendants breached this duty of care by the above acts and omissions.
24	53. The breach on the part of the Defendants was the proximate cause of damage which
25	Plaintiffs in fact suffered.
26	WHEREFORE Plaintiff request relief as hereinafter provided.
27	
28	

1	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	Negligence – Parental Liability Against Defendants SAM ARVAYO and SERENA
3	ARVAYO
4	54. Plaintiff re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above as though
5	fully set forth herein.
6	55. At all times relevant herein, the behavior of Defendant J.D. as permitted and ratified by
7	his parent SERENA ARVAYO and guardian SAM ARVAYO constitutes a nuisance within the
8	meaning of Civil Code section 3479 in that the behavior was and continues to be indecent and
9	offensive to the senses, has caused emotional distress, and interferes with the free use and quiet
10	enjoyment of the real property of Plaintiff and the neighbors.
11	56. Defendants SAM ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO knew of J.D.'s proclivities to yell
12	and wail in their backyard for hours but took no action to comfort him or any other actions to stop
13	him from yelling and wailing for hours.
14	57. At all relevant times herein, Defendants SAM ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO had
15	physical and legal custody of J.D. and the ability to control him.
16	58. At all relevant times herein, Defendants SAM ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO failed
17	to exercise reasonable care to prevent the nuisance caused by J.D. and failed to take reasonable
18	steps to protect Plaintiff and their neighbors from the harm and nuisance.
19	59. All of the harms and nuisance alleged were entirely foreseeable as Defendants SAM
20	ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO were put on notice regarding J.D.'s behavior and were
21	otherwise aware of his behavior.
22	60. Not only did Plaintiff's request for the conduct to be abated and concern went unheeded,
23	Defendants SERENA ARVAYO became hostile and aggressive towards Plaintiff.
24	61. The failure of Defendants SAM ARVAYO and SERENA ARVAYO to exercise
25	reasonable care is the proximate and actual cause of the harm complained of.
26	WHEREFORE Plaintiff request relief as hereinafter provided.
27	
28	

1	
1	69. Plaintiff has provided notice about the aforementioned disturbances to Defendant MARC
2	GOUBERT and has informed him of his duty as the landlord to remedy the nuisance. Not only has
3	Defendant MARC GOUBERT taken no action, he has "gas lighted" Plaintiff by claiming that
4	Plaintiff is over exaggerating her claims of emotional distress, damages, and harm and accused
5	Plaintiff of being overly sensitive.
6	70. As landlord, Defendant MARC GOUBERT had a duty to ensure that his tenants do not
7	annoy, disturb, distress or harm Plaintiff or the neighbors.
8	71. The acts and omissions of Defendants were negligent, Plaintiff has suffered emotional
9	distress, and Defendants' negligence was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's emotional
10	distress.
11	WHEREFORE Plaintiff request relief as hereinafter provided.
12	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY
13	Plaintiff hereby demand a trial by jury of her peers.
14	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
15	WHEREFORE Plaintiff pray for judgment as follows:
16	1. For a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent mandatory
17	injunction against all Defendants for the abatement of the public and private nuisance.
18	2. For damages according to proof.
19	3. For attorney fees under Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 insofar as Plaintiff is
20	seeking to vindicate an important right affecting the public interest.
21	4. For costs of suit incurred herein.
22	5. For such further relief as the court deems just and proper.
23	
24	Dated: December 19, 2023 LY LAW OFFICES
25	J. Fren Les
26	"James" Huan Ly, Esq.
27	Attorney for Plaintiff "JANINE" CHUN LU
28	